

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,392	02/18/2004	Kurt Schaupert	VO-666	4346	
42419	7590 10/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON 2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD			LEUNG, PHILIP H		
SUITE 365			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOFFMAN I	ESTATES, IL 60195		3742		

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A II M	•	-4/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•/
Office Action Communication	10/782,392	SCHAUPERT, KURT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip H Leung	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maine armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio.  BANDONED. (35.U.S.C. & 133)	n.
Status		,	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	is action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	No.	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	3
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 18 February 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Barbaration is objected to by the Barbaration.	are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ e drawing(s) be held in abeya action is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	네).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in A ority documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-21-2004.	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/782,392

Art Unit: 3742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The drawings filed 2-18-2004 are acceptable.
- 2. In claim 28, should "support arm" at the last line be "support frame" instead? Clarification and/or correction are suggested.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 20-22 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by White et al (US 4,054,768).

White shows a microwave oven having an observation window with a support frame (31) and at least one transparent cover plate (12a, 12b, 12c, 12d) affixed to the support frame (31) for closing off the chamber, wherein the at least one cover plate (see col. 4, lines 65-67) is covered on at least one side with a metallic screen (28), which is impenetrable to microwaves, the observation window comprising: the screen (28) movably seated with respect to the support frame (31) and to increase an ability to view through the screen (28) a drive mechanism (35, 36, 39) moving the screen (28) (see Figures 1-3 and col. 3, line 44 – col. 5, line 68). In regard to claims 5, 6 and 22, Figure 2 shows the window13 may be circular in shape. In regard to claims 29 and 30, springs 34 are the claimed flexible connection or the elastic support element. In regard to claim 31, cam 35 and motor 36 are the claimed motor and disk.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,392

Art Unit: 3742

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 7-19 and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White et al (US 4,054,768).

As set forth above White shows every feature and structure as claimed except for the exact rotational movement of the screen. However, the exact movement of the screen, such as a the oscillation frequency and the oscillation amplitude, would have been a matter of engineering expediencies depending on the size and density of the view apertures and could be easily determined by an ordinary artisan through routine trial and error experimentation.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Rueggeberg (US 4,185,182) and Sato (JP 57-23727) are further cited to show microwave ovens with various claimed features.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip H Leung whose telephone number is (703) 308-1710.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (703) 305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip H Leung

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

P.Leung/pl 10-27-2004